

Dialect-geographical Acoustic-Tonetics: five disyllabic tone sandhi patterns in cognate words from the Wu dialects of Zhèjiāng province

Phil Rose

Emeritus Faculty, Australian National University, Australia

philjohn.rose@gmail.com

Abstract

The typology of tone sandhi patterns on disyllabic tonally cognate words in selected sub-groups of the Wu dialects of the S. E. Chinese province of Zhejiang is investigated using data from 48 sites collected over 45 years. A new method of extrinsic z-score normalisation is demonstrated which permits comparison of tones across dialects with different pitch ranges. Five different but typical right-dominant word-tone patterns are identified, acoustically quantified, and their geographical distribution specified. It is hypothesized that changes in isolation tones and different types of dissimilation of the first tone from the word-final tone are a possible origin of the observed variation.

Index Terms: Wu dialects, dialect geography, lexical tone sandhi, extrinsic F0 normalisation, tonal cognates

1. Introduction

The Chomskyan revolution, focusing on Language as something in speakers' brains, has tended to divert attention from the more traditional, speaker-external view of Language as a shared property of human societies, with an existence, and variation, in space and time [1, pp. 9-12]. The first study of how words vary as a function of geographical location – Yang Xiong's *Fangyan* – appeared early, in the Han dynasty China of 53 BC [2, p.241]. The systematic discipline of Dialect Geography arose much later, in late 19th century Europe [3, pp.15–17], ultimately giving rise in the first part of the 20th century to major surveys which plot the distribution of dialectal features in the USA, Europe and the UK [3, pp.18–23]. Chinese dialect surveys of this era usually go unmentioned, but much work has been published, with accompanying maps. These include both large-scale surveys of whole provinces e.g. [4,5,6,7,8] as well as smaller-scale surveys of parts of provinces, e.g. [9,10,11,12]. This paper returns to China, to investigate the geographical distribution of a phonological feature: tone-sandhi types in the Wu dialects of its east-central Zhejiang province.

Wu dialects show a great variety of citation tone shapes, the geographical distribution of which has been described for parts of the Wu area [13,14]. The Wu dialects are probably best known, however, for their complex lexical tone sandhi. This often results in tonal shapes for polysyllabic words which can bear a complex, phonetically opaque relationship to the isolation tones of their constituent morphemes [15, pp.81–84]. The complexity of the tone sandhi systems of individual sites is of considerable tonological interest. Tone sandhi data from Zhenhai, for example, has been adduced to demonstrate the theoretically important point within autosegmental phonology that tone contour can be copied [16], and Shanghai is often touted as a typical Chinese example of left-to-right tone




Figure 1: Map of Zhejiang province showing Wu dialect sub-groups, administrative districts, and location of sites sampled. (Sub-)sub-groups and sites are colour-coded thus: **Taihu-Linshao** 太湖-临绍, **Taihu-Yongjiang** 太湖-甬江, **Taizhou** 台州; **Wuzhou** 婺州; **Oujiang** 瓯江; **Chuqu-Quzhou** 处衢-衢州, **Chuqu-Chuzhou** 处衢-处州. Symbols indicate sites with different word-tone types discussed below.

spreading. The complexity of the tone sandhi also means, however, that adequate, acoustically-based description and analysis of individual sites, e.g. [17,18], is time-consuming. Consequently, the geographical distribution of Wu sandhi characteristics is not well known. The aim of this paper is to make a start. Instead of describing and analysing individual sites in detail, its novelty is to adopt a different, dialect-geographical perspective, and investigate acoustically the same fragment of the sandhi system over different sites in the Wu area. This paper thus looks at sandhi in disyllabic words where the tone on both their constituent morphemes derives from the single Middle Chinese *yinping* tone Ia, and examines how the lexical sandhi shapes for these tonally cognate disyllabic words distribute through selected parts of Zhejiang. This provides an idea of which areas are likely to have the same sandhi, and this in turn will help in the selection of individual sites for more detailed description. It also is of course the first step in answering the historical linguistic question of how the complexity arose in the first place.




Figure 2: Examples of disyllabic lexical tone sandhi in two speakers from different Wu dialect sub-groups. **Left two panels:** Tonal acoustics of the same three words (airplane, roisin, melon) in a male speaker from Lishui (A) and a male speaker from Genglou (B). Dotted lines = individual tokens, solid line = arithmetical mean. **Right two panels:** Mean tonal acoustics of the non-stopped citation tones of the Lishui (C) and Genglou (D) speakers. Ia Ib etc. = Middle Chinese tone categories. X-axis = duration (csec.). Y-axis = F0 (Hz).

1.1. Wu Dialects: Location, sites, subgroups

The Wu dialects of southern Zhejiang province, in East Central China, are conventionally divided into five main subgroups, although published sources [10,12,19] disagree somewhat in their details and names. Figure 1, based on the *Language Atlas of China* [19], shows a colour-coded map with the subgroups' names and location, as well as indicating the sites sampled, and smaller administrative districts (*xiàn* 县). To save space, I have also included in this map some of the results, with symbols indicating the distribution of different word-tone shapes.

1.2. Wu lexical tone sandhi examples

The most common word-formation process in Chinese is compounding [20, p.73ff.], and part of this compounding process in Wu dialects involves changes in the tones of the word's constituent morphemes (so-called *lexical tone sandhi*) [21, pp.41,42]. One result of this (perhaps its actual function) is that words are marked tonologically. Two examples from the data in this paper will help to clarify these morphotonic relationships.

In the dialect of Lishui (*Chuzhou* site purple 4 in figure 1), the word for *melon* 西瓜 is pronounced [sɿ kuə̃ 44.323], with a high level pitch tone on the first syllable and a low-dipping pitch on the second. Panel A of figure 2 shows the tonal acoustics for this word, as well as two other words with the same pitch, in a young male Lishui speaker. It can be seen that the mean F0 trajectory, in red, corresponds well to the high-level + low-dipping pitch. The word *melon* is composed of two morphemes. The first morpheme is {east} /sɿ/ and the second is {gourd} /kua/. In isolation, both morphemes have the same, low-dipping tone, the mean acoustics of which can be seen in panel C of figure 2. It is marked Ia and plotted with a thick red line. The mean F0 of four of his other tones is also shown to indicate the location of the low-dipping tonal F0 in his F0 range. In the formation of this Lishui word *melon*, then, it appears that the tone on the word-final syllable has not changed, but the tone on the first has changed to high level. This kind of sandhi, where the tone on a word-final syllable remains the same as the tone on a single syllable word/morpheme, but the tone changes on syllables toward the beginning of the word, is called *right dominant*. It is supposed to be common in the Southern Zhejiang dialects under examination in this paper [18].

Genglou (*Taizhou* site blue 2 in figure 1) lies, as the crow flies, some 125 kilometers east-north-east of Lishui. (It is

considerably further as the crow hops, as the two locations are separated by mountains.) In Genglou dialect the word for *melon* 西瓜 is pronounced [ɛi kua 35°.31] with a first-syllable pitch normally rising above the speaker's modal pitch range to become falsetto, and a high-falling pitch on the second. Panel B of figure 2 shows the tonal acoustics for this word, as well as two others with the same pitch, in a young male speaker. Comparison with his citation tonal F0 in panel D shows that, again, it is the word-final tone that is preserved and the tone on the first syllable changed.

It can be seen that the same, i.e. cognate, words are used in figure 2 for both dialects. What links the Lishui and the Genglou data and makes them comparable is that, despite the considerable variation, all the relevant tones in the examples from these two sites derive historically from the same single Middle Chinese tone *Ia. In this paper, only words composed of reflexes of this tone ("Ia+Ia words") are used. This tonal combination was chosen because previous research [e.g. 18,22,23,24,25] has shown that (along with Ia+Ib, Ib+Ia, Ib+Ib) it tends to preserve its different sandhi shape and is less subject to mergers with other tonal combinations.

2. Procedure

2.1. Corpora

Two main sources of data were used: tape-recordings made by Prof. Zhu Xiaonong and his assistant in the mid to late 90's as part his post-doctoral survey of Wu tones and tone sandhi funded by a large Fellowship Grant from the *Australian Research Council*; and tape-recordings made about a decade earlier by Prof. William Ballard in early 1988 as part of his survey of tones and tone sandhi in southern Wu varieties, funded by the *US National Endowment for Humanities* [26]. I record my gratitude to Profs. Ballard and Zhu for making their valuable recordings available for digitization and analysis. This material was supplemented by recordings made by my students and myself over about the last 45 years, but consisting primarily of tape-recordings I made in the mid-70's, of older speakers from the N.E. of the Zhejiang area around Ningbo. All three sets of recordings were primarily intended to elicit data for analyzing disyllabic Wu tone sandhi, as well as, of course, tones on monosyllables. Because of this, the same words are often found in all three sets. All recordings used in this paper may be listened to, and the acoustic results viewed, on my web-site: <https://philjohnrose.net>. The data are also available upon request to anyone interested in further acoustic-phonetic research of the speech of this area.

2.2. Extraction and measurement

Recordings were digitised at 22.05k and examples of Ia+Ia words identified and edited out for further processing. The number of Ia+Ia words available for each speaker ranged from 3 to 20+. Each speaker's Ia+Ia words were then transcribed with respect to segments and pitch (*perceived* pitch, not F0 c.f.[27]), and any non-modal phonation type. Transcription is an indispensable part of the procedure. Even though one is often dealing with complete cognates, they can still differ considerably in segmental quality across the area. For example, the same proto-Wu segment *t, has developed variously into [n], [t], [d], [d̥] and [ɕ], so the Ia+Ia word *east wind* 东风 can be heard as [tɔŋ fɔŋ] in the north-east of the area, [nɔm fɔm] in the centre, and [ɕɛŋ fɛŋ] in the south-west. Such differences in sonorance, voicing and initiation can be expected to exert considerable intrinsic effect on F0; there are in addition differences in vowel quality and phonation type which will also have intrinsic effects. Because of these intrinsic effects it is impossible to interpret variation in F0 shapes without knowledge of the accompanying segmentals. Note, too, there is no indication from the F0 alone that the high rising F0 on the first syllable of the Genglou pattern in panel B of figure 2 represents an excursion above the speakers' modal F0 range: only an auditory transcription will supply that information. More importantly still, not all Ia+Ia words for a given site were always said with the same tonal pitch: consistent with gradual diffusion through the lexicon, some were obviously changing to another sandhi shape. A prior pitch transcription is therefore necessary to avoid mechanically extracting mean F0 values that conflate different tonal targets.

The tonal acoustics of each disyllabic word (F0 and duration) were measured by first generating its wideband spectrogram in *Praat*, together with its aligned wave-form and superimposed F0 contour. The good time-domain resolution of the spectrogram was then used to hand-segment the disyllabic words into first syllable Rhyme, intervocalic consonant, and second syllable Rhyme. Criteria for segmentation are described in [18]. The F0 over each Rhyme was then extracted with *Praat*, and modeled in *R* with an eighth-order polynomial, from which F0 was then sampled with a sufficiently high frequency to capture the details of its time-course: at 10% points of the Rhyme as well as 5% and 95%. F0 was also sampled in mid-duration of any voiced intervocalic consonant. The mean F0 trajectory was then calculated over all tokens. Figure 2 contained typical results of this quantification.

Conventional dialect-geographical procedure was followed in using established descriptive parameters – here, the transcribed pitch contour – to assign a speaker's tokens to a particular type (e.g. “mid-level + high-falling”). The geographical distribution of these types was then plotted in a so-called *display map* [3, p. 29]. Figure 1 is an example.

2.3. Mean-adjusted Extrinsic Z-score Normalisation

In order to obtain quantified representations of the acoustic properties of the different types of Ia+Ia tone patterns, their mean acoustics were z-score normalised [28]. Although this method of tonal normalisation has been shown to be clearly superior for removing speaker-dependent characteristics [29], the use of *intrinsic* normalisation parameters (NPs) – i.e. NPs derived from the data to be normalised – will be inappropriate for comparison between the tone sandhi patterns observed.

This is because, as has been observed in the Genglou data, the different types of Ia+Ia tone patterns clearly occupy different parts of their speakers' pitch range, and therefore their NPs of mean and standard deviation F0 will not necessarily accurately reflect the location of the Ia+Ia word-tone in the speaker's overall F0 range, and thus not permit comparison between the different pattern types. The data were therefore first normalised using extrinsic NPs derived from quasi long-term distributions reflecting the whole of a speaker's F0 range [30]. These were obtained from all the examples of a speaker's disyllabic tone sandhi combinations elicited in the session: usually between ca. 1.25 and 1.75 minutes net speech. Normalisation was done in the $\log_{10}F0$ domain to counteract the long-term F0 distributions' typically positive skew. Speakers' extrinsic normalised mean values were then adjusted by linear shifting relative to the normalised mean to give a better idea of the clustering. The results of this process are shown for one sandhi type, with high-level + low-dipping pitch, in panels A & B of figure 3. It can be seen that the mean extrinsic normalised value for the sandhi type representing the position in the F0 range (thick red line) remains the same, but the clustering of speakers around the mean is reduced by the linear shifting of the individual speakers' normalised value. This clustering is comparable to that achieved with an intrinsic normalisation of the same data, shown in panel C of figure 3. It can also be seen that the intrinsic normalisation makes the word-final tone appear slightly lower in the speakers' range than it actually is.

3. Results & Discussion

The first finding – unsurprising, given the extent of the area sampled – is that there are indeed different patterns for word tone: tonal acoustics for five patterns are shown in figure 3. Secondly, these patterns are not randomly distributed, but largely group geographically as shown on the map in figure 1. Finally, as already hinted at in figure 2, some of these patterns appear rather different from each other. The six patterns are described briefly below, naming them either after the main district where they are found, or a main city in the area.

Lishui pattern (fig.1: ☀) This pattern, found in the central south of the area, has high-level pitch on the first syllable and low-dipping pitch on the word-final syllable, e.g. Lishui [tɔŋ fɔŋ 44.323] *east wind*. Panel B of figure 3 gives normalised acoustics for 16 speakers. This pattern occurred mostly in varieties in Lishui and Yunhe districts (*Chuzhou* sub-sub-group), but was also found further south in Taishun (site purple 9) and the *Quzhou* sub-sub-group (site cyan 3).

Ningbo pattern (fig 1: ☁) This is found in the N.E. corner of the area and has mid-level pitch on the first syllable and high falling pitch on the word-final syllable, e.g. Zhenhai [tɔŋ fɔŋ 33.51] *east wind*. Panel D of figure 3 gives normalised acoustics for 21 speakers. Many of the varieties with this word-tone are found in the *Yongjiang* sub-sub-group (red sites 1-6), but the pattern is not coterminous with it, being also found in the *Linshao* sub-sub-group varieties of Shaoxing and Cixi (brown sites 1, 2). There is also an occurrence in Huluzhen (yellow site 8), just within the *Wuzhou* sub-group.

Linhai pattern (fig. 1: ☀) The high-rising-falsetto – high-falling word tone exemplified in figure 2 is found in a group of sites in the *Taizhou* sub-group in the east, e.g. Linhai [tɔŋ fɔŋ 35[↑].41] *east wind*. Panel E of figure 3 gives normalised acoustics for 5 speakers. Note that the high point of the first-syllable F0, as part of the falsetto realization, extends over three standard deviations above the mean.




Figure 3: Tonal acoustics for five disyllabic lexical sandhi patterns in Zhejiang Wu dialects. A B C = Lishui, D = Ningbo, E = Linhai, F = Qingtian. X-axis = normalised duration aligned at onset of word-final Rhyme, y-axis = extrinsic z-score normalised F0 (sds around mean). G = Tonatory (F0, duration). Symbols relate to map in figure 1.

Qingtian pattern (fig.1: ★) This pattern, found in varieties in Qingtian district (*Chuzhou* sub-sub-group), has a mid falling pitch on the first syllable and a high rising pitch on the word-final syllable, e.g. Beishan [dʊŋ fəŋ 31.34] *east wind*. Normalised acoustics of 6 speakers are in panel F of figure 3.

Tonatory patterns (fig.1: Θ, Ω) In the south-west, in the counties of Longquan, Qingyuan and Jingning, are found so-called tonatory sites, where extrinsic phonation type is just as much part of tone as pitch [31]. There appear to be two groups. Those in the west (Ω) have Rhyme-internal glottalisation. This usually sounds like a low-dipping pitch with a weak glottal-stop or creak in the middle, e.g. Longquan [dɛŋ fɛŋ 44.313] *east wind*. Sites to the east (Θ), have Rhyme-final glottalisation, which is realized as creak or a glottal stop at the end of a falling pitch: Jingning [dɛŋ fɛŋ? 44.31] *east wind*. Even if the algorithm has extracted them, plotting values for glottalised F0 has little expositional merit: the important acoustic feature is not the actual value of the F0 time-course but the presence and location of jitter and shimmer. So this tonatory behavior is best illustrated spectrographically as in panel G of figure 3, where the laryngealised portion of the word-final Rhyme in the Shangyang word [dɛŋ fɛŋ 44.313] *east wind* is clearly seen between about csec.37 and csec. 50.

Because only tonally cognate words were used in this experiment, all the documented variation in word-tone pattern has – to quote the foundational document of historical

linguistics [1, p.106,107] – *sprung from some common source*, namely, the single Middle Chinese *Ia tone. It is of obvious interest to explain how such *ex uno plures* variation arose.

This paper has demonstrated six different word-final tones which divide categorically into two groups. One group appears to embody gradual variation in contour, progressing from high-rise (**Qingtian** ★), to low-dipping (**Lishui** ✱), to laryngealised low-dipping (**Tonatory** Ω) to laryngealised low-falling (**Tonatory** Θ). The other group has two high-falling variants which differ mainly by rate of F0 fall: derivative increasing (**Ningbo** ☺) and decreasing (**Linhai** ☻). If it is the case that all the modern varieties examined have right-dominant tone sandhi, with their word-final tone similar to their isolation tone, a sensible hypothesis is that the synchronic variation observed in word-final tone is referable to prior variation in isolation tone, and the *explanandum* then will be how the isolation tones diverged. One of the next stages of the investigation is thus to document the dialects' isolation tones, but currently, given the variety in observed shapes, no proto-form can be sensibly reconstructed.

There are also considerable differences in the words' first-syllable tone, of which the results show four types: high-level, mid-level, high-rising-to-falsetto, and mid-falling. As one might expect [32] some of these first syllable shapes are clearly the result of dissimilation. The data also suggest, however, that different types of dissimilation can be triggered by different tonal properties of the following syllable. Thus a following high rise appears to have triggered a low fall in the **Qingtian** ★ pattern, and a following high fall appears to have triggered a high rise in **Linhai** ☻. Thus further investigation might yield a typology of dissimilation. Certainly, the apparent phonetic motivation of the first-syllable tone changes means reconstruction is possible: a proto mid-level tone would be the rational choice.

4. Summary

This paper has identified and acoustically quantified five different putatively right-dominant word-tone patterns on disyllabic cognate words in Zhejiang Wu dialect sub-groups. It has shown how they distribute geographically, and speculated on their historical development.

The results represent, of course, only a miniscule portion of the overall picture of the distribution of Wu tone sandhi in Zhejiang. There are additional Ia+Ia types not described in this paper; and, given that many dialects have eight citation tones, Ia+Ia combinations represent theoretically only a small proportion of the possible shapes in a single variety.

Urban dialectology and forensic voice comparison have shown that variation in speech is ubiquitous and multidimensional, showing correlations with, *inter alia*, age, sex, social status and style as well as location. Space precludes detailed discussion but it is clear that there are some age differences in the corpus, although they appear to correlate with location. For example, there are very little differences between speakers of the **Ningbo** pattern separated by ca. 80 years real time, whereas in the Oujiang sub-group (not described in this paper) there do appear to be differences in word tone correlating with age [33]. Other correlations remain to be investigated.

A final caveat is that, given some recently documented tonal changes in northern Wu [34], the non-contemporaneity of the material does not guarantee the paper's findings represent the current state of affairs, which is likely to be less complicated.

5. References

- [1] R. Lass, *Historical linguistics and language change*. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [2] W. S.-Y. Wang, "Linguistic Diversity and Language Relationships," in C.-T. J. Huang and Y.-H. A. Li [Eds], *New Horizons in Chinese Linguistics*, pp. 235–267, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
- [3] J.K. Chambers and P. Trudgill, *Dialectology*. Cambridge University Press, 1980.
- [4] Y.R. Chao 趙元任, S.S. Ting 丁聲樹, S.F. Yang 楊時逢, T.C. Wu 吳宗濟, and T.H. Tung 董同龢, 湖北方言調查報告 [Report on a Survey of the Dialects of Hupeh]. 台聯國風出版社, 1938.
- [5] Survey Leader Group 江苏省和上海市方言调查指导组, 苏省和上海市方言概况 [Survey of the Dialects of Jiangsu and Shanghai], Jiangsu People's Publishing, 1960.
- [6] S. F. Yang 楊時逢, 云南方言調查報告 [Report on a survey of the Dialects of Yunnan]. Special publication 56, Institute of History and Philology of the Academia Sinica, 1969.
- [7] S. F. Yang 楊時逢, 湖南方言調查報告 [Report on a survey of the Dialects of Hunan]. Special publication 66, Institute of History and Philology of the Academia Sinica, 1974.
- [8] S. F. Yang 楊時逢, 四川方言調查報告 [Report on a survey of the Dialects of Szechuan], special publication 82, Institute of History and Philology of the Academia Sinica, 1984.
- [9] Y.R. Chao 趙元任, 現代吳語的研究 *Studies in the Modern Wu Dialects*. Tsing Hua College Research Institute Monograph 4, 1928.
- [10] G.T. Fu 傅國通, S.X. Fang. 方松熹, Y.F. Cai 蔡勇飞, S.J. Bao 鮑士杰, Z. Z. FU 傅佐之, 浙江吳語分區 [Wu dialect subgroups of Zhejiang]. Zhejiang Linguistics Society, Hangzhou, 1985.
- [11] N.R. Qian 钱乃荣, 当代吴语研究 [Studies in the contemporary Wu dialects]. Shanghai Commercial Press, 1992.
- [12] Z.Y. Cao 曹志耘, 南部吳語语音研究 [Studies on the phonetics of Southern Wu dialects]. Commercial Press, 2002.
- [13] S. Zhu and P. Rose, "Tonal Complexity as a Dialectal Feature: 25 Different Citation Tones from Four Zhejiang Wu Dialects," in R. Mannell and J. Robert-Ribes [Eds.] *ICSLP 1998 - 5th International Conference on Spoken Language Processing*, Sydney, *Proceedings* 3, 1998, pp. 919–922.
- [14] W. Steed and P. Rose, "Same tone, different category: linguistic-tonetic variation in the areal tonal acoustics of Chu-qu Wu", in *INTERSPEECH 2009 - 10th Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association, Proceedings*, 2009, pp. 2295–2298.
- [15] M.Y. Chen, *Tone Sandhi - Patterns Across Chinese Dialects*. Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [16] M.Y. Chen, "Tonal Geometry – A Chinese Perspective," in C.-T. J. Huang & Y.-H.A. Li [Eds], *New Horizons in Chinese Linguistics*, pp. 21–48, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
- [17] P. Rose, "Acoustics and Phonology of Complex Tone Sandhi," *Phonetica* 47, 1–45, 1990.
- [18] P. Rose, "Complexities of Tonal Realisation in a Right-Dominant Chinese Wu Dialect - Disyllabic Tone Sandhi in a Speaker from Wencheng," *Journal of the South East Asian Linguistics Society* vol. 9: pp. 48–80, 2016.
- [19] S. Wurm et al., *Language Atlas of China*. Longmans 1987.
- [20] P. Kratochvil, *The Chinese Language Today*, Hutchinson, 1968.
- [21] Y.R. Chao, "Contrastive Aspects of the Wu Dialects," in Anwar S. Dil [Ed], *Aspects of Chinese Socio-Linguistics*, pp. 34–47, Stanford University Press, 1976. Originally appeared in *Language*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 92–101, 1967.
- [22] P. Rose, "'Defying Explanation'? - Accounting for Tones in Wenzhou Dialect Disyllabic Lexical Tone Sandhi", in S. Cassidy [Ed] *SST 2004 - 10th Australian International Conference on Speech Science & Technology, Proceedings*, 2004, pp. 237–242.
- [23] P. Rose, "Independent depressor and register effects in Wu dialect tonology: Evidence from Wenzhou tone sandhi", *Journal of Chinese Linguistics*, vol 30, no.1, pp. 39–81, 2002.
- [24] W. Steed, *Lishui Wu lexical tone and tone sandhi*. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Australian National University, 2010.
- [25] W. Steed, "Phonation Type and Tone Sandhi as Evidence of Chinese Stress in Longquan Wu.", in P. Warren & C.I. Watson [Eds], *SST 2006 - 11th Australasian International Conf. on Speech Science & Technology, Proceedings*, 2006, pp. 82–224.
- [26] W.L. Ballard, "Oujiang Wu Tone Sandhi: Visi-Pitch Results," Chinese Language and Linguistics 1: Chinese Dialects, Symposium Series of the Institute of History and Philology Academia Sinica, vol 2, pp. 41–66, 1992.
- [27] P. Rose, "On the non-equivalence of fundamental frequency and pitch in tonal description," in D. Bradley, E. Henderson and M. Mazaudon [Eds], *Prosodic Analysis and Asian Linguistics: to Honour R.K.Sprigg*, pp. 55–82, *Pacific Linguistics*, 1989.
- [28] P. Rose, "Some considerations in the normalisation of the fundamental frequency of linguistic tone," *Speech Communication*, vol 6, no. 4, pp. 343–352, 1987.
- [29] P. Rose, "Comparing Normalisation Strategies for Citation Tone F0 in Four Chinese Dialects.", in C. Carignan & M. D. Tyler [Eds], *SST 2016 - 16th Australasian Int'l Conf. on Speech Science & Technology, Sydney, Proceedings*, 2016, pp. 221–224.
- [30] P. Rose, "How effective are long term mean and standard deviation as normalisation parameters for tonal fundamental frequency?," *Speech Communication* vol. 10, pp. 229–247, 1991.
- [31] P. Rose, "Tonation in Three Chinese Wu Dialects", *Proc. Int'l Congress of Phonetic Sciences*, (no page numbers), Glasgow, 2015.
- [32] Y. Xu "Contextual tonal variation in Mandarin", *Journal of Phonetics* vol. 25: pp. 61–83, 1997.
- [33] P. Rose, "Reverse-engineering tones and tone sandhi in Wenzhou dialect: between-speaker differences and historical development," *International Bulletin of Chinese Linguistics*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 29–48, 2011.
- [34] J.W. Zhang, *A Sociophonetic Study on Tonal Variation of the Wuxi and Shànghǎi Dialects*, Landelijke Onderzoekschool Taalwetenschap, 2014.